No 107 - Answer

Your paediatricians wish to screen a population for the presence of a rare disease which has a prevalence of 1 in 2000. However the preferred screening test, for which the sensitivity and specificity are both 99% is prohibitively expensive so you suggest a two step strategy employing a preliminary inexpensive screening test which has a sensitivity of 99% but a specificity of only 96%. The preferred (secondary) test will only be applied to those samples yielding a positive result in the preliminary test. The paediatricians have asked you to calculate:

- a) The prevalence of disease in the population giving a positive result to the preliminary
- b) The percentage of patients with disease who will be successfully identified using this strategy.

 c) The percentage of patients identified as having the disease by this strategy which are false positives.

bbreviations:		
	Preliminary test	Secondary test

True positives	TP ₁	TP ₂
False positives	FP ₁	FP ₂
True negatives	TN ₁	TN ₂
False negatives	FN ₁	FN ₂

With these types of questions it is helpful to construct a 2 x 2 contingency table (although not all fields are needed to answer the question):

	Positives	Negatives	Total
With d	lisease TP	FN	TP + FN
	Sensitivity x Prevalence	(1 – Sensitivity) x Prevalence	Prevalence
Witho	ut disease FP	TN	FP + TN
	(1 - Specificity) x (1 - prevalence)	Specificity x (1 - prevalence)	(1 - Prevalence)
Total	TP + FP	FN + TN	TP + FP + FN + TN

Prevalence, sensitivity and specificity are expressed as proportions i.e. prevalence of 1 in 2,000 becomes 1/2,000 = 0.0005, sensitivity of 99% = 0.99 and specificity of 96% = 0.96.

a) For the preliminary test (Test 1). Sensitivity = 0.99, specificity = 0.96, prevalence = 0.0005, (1 - prevalence) = 0.9995

	Positives	Negatives	Total
With disease	TP ₁	FN ₁	TP1 + FN ₁
	$0.99 \times 0.0005 = 0.000495$	0.01 x 0.0005 = 0.000005	0.0005
Without dise	ease FP ₁	TN ₁	FP ₁ + TN ₁
	0.04 x 0.9995 = 0.03998	0.96 x 0.9995 = 0.95952	0.9995
Total	TP ₁ + FP ₁	$FN_1 + TN_1$	$TP_1 + FP_1 + FN_1 + TN_1$
	0.040475	0.959525	1

ACB News | Issue 563 | March 2010

Practice FRCPath Style Calculations | 11

Prevalence of disease amongst group testing positive

TP₁ + FP₁ 0.000495 0.040475

0.0122 (to 3 sig figs)

Which can also be expressed as 1 in 1/0.0122 = 1 in 82

b) The percentage of patients with disease which test positive by both the preliminary and secondary screening test is simply the product of the two sensitivities:

% with disease identified by test strategy =
$$\frac{Sensitivity_{Primary test} \times Sensitivity_{Secondary test}}{100}$$
=
$$\frac{99 \times 99}{100} = 98\%$$

Set up a similar table for the secondary test (test 2) – where sensitivity = 0.99, specificity = 0.99 prevalence = 0.0122 and (1 - prevalence) = 1 - 0.0122 = 0.9878:

	Positives	Negatives	Total
With dise	ease TP ₂	FN ₂	$TP_2 + FN_2$
	0.99 x 0.0122 = 0.012078	0.01 x 0.0122 = 0.000122	0.0122
Without	disease FP ₂	TN ₂	$FP_2 + TN_2$
	0.01 x 0.9878 = 0.009878	0.99 x 0.9878 = 0.977922	0.9878
Total	$TP_2 + FP_2$	FN ₂ + TN ₂	$TP_2 + FP_2 + FN_2 + TN_2$
	0.021956	0.978044	1

% of false positives in the secondary test =
$$\frac{F_2 \times 100}{T_{P_2} + F_{P_2}}$$
 = $\frac{0.009878 \times 100}{0.021956}$ = 45%

Question 108

Calculate the loading dose of intravenous aminophylline required to achieve a plasma theophylline concentration of 15 mg/L in a 55 kg man, given that aminophylline is 80% w/w theophylline and the volume of distribution of theophylline is 0.5 L/kg. What infusion rate would be required to maintain this concentration if the half life is 8 hours?