## **Deacon's Challenge**

## No 118 - Answer

Your laboratory has recently changed assays for HDL cholesterol. A bias study established that the relationship between the new assay (y) and the old assay (x) is described by the formula y = 1.07x + 0.06. Given between-day imprecisions of 2.3% for the new assay and 2.8% for the old assay, and assuming a within-subject biological variation of 7%, determine whether an apparent increase in a patient's HDL from 0.8 to 1.0 mmol/L following the method change

FRCPath, Spring 2010

1. First convert the initial HDL result to the value which would be expected by the new method:

```
New assay result = (1.07 \times Old assay result) + 0.06
Substitute 0.8 mmol/L for the old assay result
Initial sample new assay result = (1.07 \times 0.8) + 0.06
                                  = 0.856 + 0.06 = 0.92 \text{ mmol/L (2 sig figs)}
```

2. Next calculate the rise in HDL cholesterol using both values for the new method:

```
Rise in HDL cholesterol = 1.00 - 0.92 = 0.08 mmol/L
```

3. Next calculate the total imprecision for both the old and the new methods:

```
Total CV = \sqrt{\text{(Analytical } CV^2 + Biological } CV^2)}
For old method, total CV = \sqrt{(2.8^2 + 7^2)} = \sqrt{(7.84 + 49)} = \sqrt{56.84} = 7.54%
For new method, total CV = \sqrt{(2.3^2 + 7^2)} = \sqrt{(5.29 + 49)} = \sqrt{54.29} = 7.37\%
```

4. Next convert total CVs to total SDs at the concentrations (using new assay results) for both

```
SD = Value (mmol/L) \times CV (%)
For initial result, SD = 0.92 \times \frac{7.54}{100} = 0.069 \text{ mmol/L}
For final result, SD = 1.00 \times 7.37 = 0.074 \text{ mmol/L}
```

5. Next calculate the combined SD for both methods:

```
Combined SD = \sqrt{\text{(Old method } SD^2 + \text{New method } SD^2)}
                  = \sqrt{(0.069^2 + 0.074^2)}
                  = \sqrt{(0.00476 + 0.00548)} = \sqrt{0.0102} = 0.10 \text{ mmol/L}
```

ACB News | Issue 575 | March 2011

## Practice FRCPath Style Calculations | 13

6. Finally calculate the minimum rise in HDL which would be significant using P = 0.05. The rise in HDL chol, if not significant, will be normally distributed with a mean of zero and SD of the combined total SD calculated for each method.

```
z = Rise in HDL chol
      Combined SD
```

For P = 0.05, z = 1.96. Substitute 0.10 for the combined SD:

```
1.96 = Rise in HDL chol
```

Rise in HDL chol =  $1.96 \times 0.10 = 0.20 \text{ mmol/L} (2 \text{ sig figs})$ 

Since the actual rise in HDL cholesterol (0.08 mmol/L) is a lot less than 0.20, it is NOT statistically significant and so does not represent a true increase.

Alternatively, since the two total SDs including both the old and new assay imprecisions are very similar (0.069 and 0.074 mmol/L) they can be assumed to be approximately equal and the value of 2.8 SDs which must be exceeded before a change is significant can be used. Using a mean value of 0.0715 mmol/L the value for 2.8 SD becomes 0.20 mmol/L which yields the same result.

The difference in analytical CVs for the two methods is small in comparison to the biological CV so that there is little change in total CV. Ideally total analytical CVs should be used rather than between-day imprecisions.

## **Ouestion 119**

A chromatographic method for a drug (A) described in the literature, appears satisfactory for routine use. However, when you set up the method in your laboratory you discover that one of the drug's metabolites (B) co-elutes with the drug. On further investigation you observe that A and B have over-lapping absorption spectra with maxima at 580nm and 630 nm respectively. Fortunately your HPLC system is equipped with a diode array detector.

Use the following data to calculate the urinary drug concentration:

100 Drug A standard solution (100 µmol/L) Metabolite B standard solution (100 µmol/L) 50 40