Deacon's Challenge No. 72 Answer

You receive two blood samples from a general practice, each of which is labelled with the same pre-printed label, but you suspect that they are actually from two different patients. The measured serum sodium concentrations of the two samples are 140 and 143 mmol/L respectively. Given that the high control for your sodium easy must a standard deviation of 1.07 mmol/L at 151.6 mmol/L and the intra-individual biological variation of serum sodium concentrations is quoted as 0.6%, determine whether it is possible that these samples are indeed from the same patient, stating any assumptions that you make.

We have two results, x_1 and x_2 each of which we will assume belongs to a Gaussian distribution, with respective standard deviation s_1 and s_2 . The difference between the two results (x_1-x_2) will be normally distributed with a combined standard deviation $(s_1,2)$. If the two samples are from the same patient then the values for the mom for each population will be identical so that their difference (mom x₁ - mom x₂) is zero. Therefore a value can be calculated to test the null hypothesis that the observed difference between the two results is not significantly different from zero:

$$z = \underbrace{(x_1 - x_2)}_{S_{1,2}} - 0$$

The total standard deviation $(s_{1,2})$ when two results are combined (i.e. added or subtracted) is the square root of the sum of their squares:

$$s_{1,2} = \sqrt{(s_1^2 + s_2^2)}$$

However, if the samples are indeed from the same patient, then $s_1 = s_2$ and this expression simplifies to:

$$s_{1,2} = \sqrt{(s^2 + s^2)} = \sqrt{(2s^2)} = \sqrt{2} \times s = 1.414 s$$

Therefore the expression for z becomes:

$$z = \frac{(x_1 - x_2)}{1.414 \text{ s}} - 0$$

10 ACB News Issue 527 + March 2007

Questions MRCPath Short Questions MRCPath Short Questions

We are given the analytical standard deviation (s) at $151.6\ \text{mmol/L}$ but are not told if, or how, this varies with concentration. Therefore it is reasonable to assume that this s value also applies at $143\ \text{and}\ 140\ \text{mmol/L}$.

We are not told if there were dates and times on the samples or whether they arrived from the GP practice together or on separate occasions. There are two different scenarios:

1. The patient was only bled once and two tubes of blood were taken at the same time The puttern we canny near outce due to the table of notes where tained at the static time. In this instance the intra-individual biological CV can be safely ignored since the hypothesis we are testing is that the samples are from the same patient and taken at the same time (in which case the biological variation is zero).

Substituting 143 mmol/L for x_1 and 140 mmol/L for x_2 and using the analytical standard deviation for sodium (1.07 mmol/L) for s allows evaluation of z:

$$z = (143 - 140) - 0 = 1.98$$

 1.414×1.07

From tables of z the probability of obtaining a z value of 1.96 is 0.05 (or 5%). Since the z value is slightly greater than this we can conclude that it is unlikely (but not impossible) that both results were from identical

An alternative approach to this question is to calculate the maximum difference between the two results which will be significantly different from zero at the 5% level. This can be done by substituting z=1.96 into the above equation followed by re-arrangement:

$$1.96 = \underbrace{\frac{(x_1 - x_2) - 0}{1.414 \text{ s}}}_{(x_1 - x_2)} = \underbrace{1.96 \text{ s} \cdot 1.414 \text{ s}}_{1.414 \text{ s}}$$

$$(x_1 - x_2) = 2.8 \text{ s}$$

Therefore if the difference between the two results is less than 2.8 standard

Therefore if the difference between the two results is less than 2.8 standard deviations then the difference is not significant at the 5% level of probability.

2. The patient was field twice so that the samples were taken on separate excessions. In this case biological variation should be taken into account although if the time interval was very small e.g. the patient was recalled the same day to be bled again because the first sample was temporarily mislaid, then the quoted biological variation may be an over estimate.

March 2007 • ACB News Issue 527 11

MRCPath Short Questions MRCPath Short Questions MRCPath Short

First convert the CV (%) into standard deviation (s) at the mean concentration (141.5 mmol/L) then estimate the overall standard deviation:

Recalculation of the z value:

$$z = (143 - 140) - 0 = 3 = 1.50$$

Question 73

-osphate buffer with a pH of 7.4 and a total phosphate concentration of amounts of sodium dihydrogen phosphate and disodium monohydrogen weighed into 1 litre of water, given that the pKa is 6.82 (atomic weights: