

Deacon's Challenge

No 147 - Answer

It has been suggested that a simple delta-check using serial plasma creatinine measurements be used to detect acute kidney injury (AKI). If the within-subject biological coefficient of variation (CV) for plasma creatinine is 5.0% what minimum analytical CV is required to detect a percentage increase in plasma creatinine of 20% with 95% certainty?

| P(%) | 10 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| z | 1.65 | 1.96 | 2.33 | 2.58 | 3.09 | 3.29 |

FRCPath, Autumn 2012

If x_1 is the initial creatinine result, x_2 the second result and s_1 and s_2 their respective standard deviations then the differences between the two results ($x_2 - x_1$) can be considered as a normally distributed variable with mean $m_{1,2}$ and standard deviation of their differences $s_{1,2}$. If x_1 and x_2 are not significantly different then measured differences ($x_2 - x_1$) would belong to a distribution with a mean of zero and combined standard deviation of $s_{1,2}$. A z-score can be calculated for any value of ($x_2 - x_1$) in order to determine the likelihood that this value is significantly different from zero at any desired level of probability:

$$z = \frac{(x_2 - x_1)}{s_{1,2}}$$

If both the numerator and the denominator on the right hand side of the equation are multiplied by 100 and divided by x_1 then ($x_2 - x_1$) becomes the percentage increase in creatinine and assuming the CV is the same at both concentrations, $s_{1,2}$ becomes $\%CV_{1,2}$:

$$z = \frac{\% \text{ change}}{\%CV_{1,2}}$$

If a z-score of 1.96 is used and there is no real change in x (i.e. the mean percentage difference is zero) then on 95% of occasions these differences would fall within the $1.96s_{1,2}$ range with 2.5% of results less than $-1.96s_{1,2}$ and 2.5% greater than $+1.96s_{1,2}$. However, since we only wish to detect an *increase* in plasma creatinine (when the % change is positive) only the positive side of the curve is used and we instead adopt a z-score of 1.65 so that the $\pm 1.65s_{1,2}$ range includes 90% of results with 5% less than $-1.65s_{1,2}$ and 5% greater than $+1.65s_{1,2}$. Therefore any value greater than the $+1.65s_{1,2}$ would indicate a rise in creatinine with at least 95% certainty.

Substitute $z = 1.65$ and % increase = +20% then solve for $CV_{1,2}$:

$$\begin{aligned} 1.65 &= \frac{20}{\%CV_{1,2}} \\ \%CV_{1,2} &= \frac{20}{1.65} = 12.12\% \end{aligned}$$

ACB News | Issue 604 | August 2013

Practice FRCPath Style Calculations | 11

The %CV of the increase in creatinine ($\%CV_{1,2}$) is related to the %CVs of the individual measurements ($\%CV_1$ and $\%CV_2$) by the expression:

$$\%CV_{1,2}^2 = \%CV_1^2 + \%CV_2^2$$

Since the two measurements were made on specimens from the same individual using the same method, $\%CV_1 = \%CV_2$, this expression can be simplified:

$$\%CV_{1,2}^2 = 2 \times \%CV^2$$

and taking square roots:

$$\%CV_{1,2} = \sqrt{2} \times \%CV = 1.414 \%CV$$

Substituting $\%CV_{1,2} = 12.12\%$ and solving for %CV:

$$\begin{aligned} 12.12 &= 1.414 \%CV \\ \%CV &= \frac{12.12}{1.414} = 8.57\% \end{aligned}$$

This %CV of the individual measurements ($\%CV_{\text{Total}}$) is made up of two components, the intra-subject biological %CV ($\%CV_{\text{Biological}}$) and the analytical %CV ($\%CV_{\text{Analytical}}$):

$$\%CV_{\text{Total}}^2 = \%CV_{\text{Biological}}^2 + \%CV_{\text{Analytical}}^2$$

Substituting $\%CV_{\text{Total}} = 8.57\%$ and $\%CV_{\text{Biological}} = 5.0\%$ and solving for $\%CV_{\text{Analytical}}$:

$$\begin{aligned} 8.572 &= 5.02 + \%CV_{\text{Analytical}}^2 \\ 73.44 &= 25 + \%CV_{\text{Analytical}}^2 \\ \%CV_{\text{Analytical}}^2 &= 73.44 - 25 = 48.44 \\ \%CV_{\text{Analytical}} &= \sqrt{48.44} = 7.0\% \quad (2 \text{ sig figs}) \end{aligned}$$

N.B. It is possible to combine the above expressions so that the calculation can be performed in a single step:

$$\% \text{ change} = 1.44 \times z \times \sqrt{(\%CV_{\text{Biological}}^2 + \%CV_{\text{Analytical}}^2)}$$

Question 148

It is becoming increasingly common practice to replace pH with hydrogen ion concentration when reporting acid-base data. Analysis of cord blood in a neonate gave a hydrogen ion concentration of 66 nmol/L, with a pCO_2 of 7.4 kPa and an actual bicarbonate of 20 mmol/L. After taking steps to improve ventilation and circulation the end-expiratory pCO_2 is 5.1 kPa and the actual bicarbonate of 16 nmol/L. Calculate the new hydrogen ion concentration in nmol/L, stating any assumptions made.

FRCPath, Autumn 2012